	Project Name:	LEVI
Risk Register	Project ID:	
	Project Manager:	Jacob Pryor

Key: Type: C (Construction); D (Design); E (Environmental); F (Financial); M (Management); P (Political); O (Operational); T (Technical); U (Utilities)

Type: Closisottomin, 2 (Jesign), E (Livinovinietras), F (Printical), N (Very likely)

Cost Impact: 1 (unlikely); 2 (equally likely/junlikely); 3 (likely); 4 (kery likely)

Cost Impact: 1 (up to 1% or £10k); 2 (between 1 and 5% or £10k and £50k); 3 (between 6 and 15% or £50k and £250k); 4 (between 16 and 25% or £250k and £500k); 5 (greater than 25% or £500k)

Delivery impact: 1 (up to 3 months); 2 (between 3 and 6 months); 3 (between 6 and 9 months); 4 (between 9 and 12 months); 5 (greater than 12 months)

Priority: 1- 4 (very low); 5-9 (low); 10-14 (medium); 15-19 (high); 20-24 (very high); 25 (critical) Response (to risks): Avoid; Reduce; Fallback; Transfer; Accept; Share; or a combination

Response (to opportunities): Share; Exploit; Enhance; Reject; or a combination

				Origin	al						Res	idual				
Risk ID	Туре	Description	Probability	Cost Impact	Delivery Impact Priority		Date Updated		Response (may be more than one)	Mitigation (may be more than one)	Probability Cost Impact	Delivery Impact	Priority	isk owner Mitigation owner Notes	Status	Related Issue ID
1	F (Financial)	The project cannot be contained within the funding envelope		3		06-Feb-24		Delivery	Reduce	Early engagement with finance colleagues to establish contingency and inflation allowances, commitment with funder to contain project within allocation	1 3					
2	D (Design)	Charge point locations are not supported/used by the local community	2	1	3 8	06-Feb-24		Delivery	Reduce	Undertake engagement with local residents at the earliest opportunity to establish demand and identify any delivery challenges. Use data to develop an understanding of gaps in the network and where demand is highest	1 1	. 2	3 P	м РМ		
3	M (Management)	Insufficient resources to deliver the project	1	3	5 8	06-Feb-24		Delivery	Avoid	Resource planning during proposal development will prevent this from occuring. Understanding impact on support services and including costs within budget	1 1	. 2	3 P	м РМ		
4	P (Political)	Scheme lacks political support	1	3	5 8	06-Feb-24		Delivery	Reduce	Early engagement with key decision makers and ward councillors. Secure cabinet approval in advance of funding decision	1 2	. 2	4 P	м РМ		
5	F (Financial)	Partners Ameresco have no commercial interest in the project	2	3	3 12	06-Feb-24		Delivery	Reduce	Early engagement Ameresco to scope out interest and capability to deliver against proposal	1 2	2		м РМ		
				_	C								0			
				+	0								0			
-			+++	-								1	0			+
				+	- 0								0			_
				+	0)							0			_
					0)							0			
					0)							0			
					0)							0			
					0								0			
			\bot	_	C							\sqcup	0			
				_	0			1	1				0			-
			+	_	0	1		-	-		_	+	0			
				-	0		-	 	 		_	+	0			-
				_	0			1			_	+	0			
			+	+	0	1		1	†			\vdash	0			
					0)							0			
					C)							0			
					C								0			
					О	1						LΙ	0			
					C								0			
					C			1					0			
					C)							0			